BRYAN SCHRODER

Acting Unitted Stattes Attorney

RICHARD L. POMEROY

YVONNE LAMOUREUX

ADAM ALEXANDER

Assistant U.S. Attorneys

Federal Building & U.S. Counthoonse

222 West Seventh Avenue, #9, Rm. 253

Anchorage Adalska 99513-7567

Telephone: (907) 27/1-5071

Facsimille: (907) 27/11-223/44

Richard Ronce py@ushbjigrov

Yvonne.Lamoureeux@ussbbjiggov

Adam Alexander@usshijgov

ETHAN ARENSON

HAROLD CHUN

FRANKLLIN

Triall Attonneyss

Computer Crime & Intellectual Property Section

1301 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 600

Washington, DC 20005

Telephone: (202) 51144-110226

Facsimile: (202) 5144-611B3 Ethan Atenso (2014doigeov

Handld.Chum@usdoj.gov

Frank.Lin@usdoj.gov

Attonneyssfor Plainttfff United States

II

II

11

II

IV

II

11

IN THE UNITED STATIBES DISTRUCTOORT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA

UNITED STATIHSSOFFAMERROGA)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	Case No. 3:17-cv-00
)	
V.)	FILED EX PARTE
)	AND UNDER SEAL
PETER YURYEVICH LEWASHOW,)	
a/k/a "Petr Levashov," "Peter Severa,")	
"Petir Sevena," and "Sergey Asstable	∜,'),)	
)	
Defendant.)	

COMPLAINT

Plaintifff, the United States of America, by and through its undersigned counsel, alteges the following:

- This is a civil action brought under Title 188, United States Code, 1.. Sections 18345 and 2521, and Federal Rule of Civil Proceedure 665, to enjoin the Defendant from continuing to engage in wire fraud and unauthboized interception of electronic communications in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1343 and 2511, by means of mallicious computer software hardweller handware as Kelilhos.
- Kelihosiis mallware that enlists infected computer ininto 'b bottet' a 2. network of other compromised computations and the defendant. Hadividual inflected computers, or "bots," are controlled remotely through a decentralized command and control ("C&C") system in which inffectedccomputers, or "peers," remain in contact with each other.

U.S. v. Lewashoov 3:17-cv-00

3. Once inflatted by Keilihas, companies ecompanies within the botnett are used by the Defendant to generate huge will mass of unsolicited "span" emails that advertise countries feit design preparate huge will mass of unsolicited "span" emails that advertise countries feit design preparate huge will not be unsolicited "span" emails that advertise countries feit design preparate huge will not be unsolicited "span" emails that advertise countries feit design preparate huge will not be unsolicited and other frauds. Keelihas is also used to generate phisthing emails, harvest user credentials, and to download additional malware onto wich incomputers, including ransomware and banking Trojans.

Pantices

- 4. Plaintiff is the United States of America.
- 5. Defendant Peter Yuryeviidh Levzahhov is citizem of Russia who resides in St. Petersburg. Defendant uses the aliasses Petr Levzshov, Peter Severa, Petr Severa, and Sergey Astakhov.

Junissiditition and Venue

- 6. Subject matter jurisdictionlikes pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1345400(1) and 2521 and Title 288, United States Code, Sections 1331 and 1345.
- 7. Defendant is subject to the personal junisalistion of this Court, having infected computers, used infected computers in furtherance of his scheme to defraud, and engaged in unauthorized winetapping, all within the District of Alaska. The Defendant has also sent numerous fraudulent and malicious electronic messages top persons within the District of Alaska.
 - 8. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

M

The Defendant's Scheme to Defraud and Engage in Illegal Interception

- 9. The Defendant is one of the world's most notorious criminal spanners who was first indicted in the Eastern District of Michigan for email and wine fraud more than a decade ago. The changes arose out of the Defendant's use of illegal spann to promote pump-andeddump penny stock schemes.
- 10. In 2009, the Defendant was again the subject of criminal charges, this time in the District of Columbia. The D.C. criminal complaint charges the Defendant with computer fraud violations arising from his operation of the "Storm" botnett, a predecessor to Kelihos that was also used to distribute illegal spam.
- 11. The Defendant has long been a fixture on the list of the World's Ten Worst Spanners, which is maintained by the antii-spann organization Spannhaus. Currently, the Defendant occupies the sixth spot on the list.

The Defendant's Use of Kelihos

- 12. Since about 2010, the Defendant has been the operator of the Kelihos botnett, a network of computers inflected with malware distributed by the Defendant.
- 13. Kelihos is a sophisticated malware variant that is used by the Defendant to harvest user credentials from wictim computers, propagate huge quantities of spam emails, and to distribute other forms of malware.
- 14. The Kelihos malware harvests user credentials from victim computers through a number of methods. First, Kelihos searches text-based files stored on victim computers for email addresses. Second, Kédihos searches locations on victim computers for files known to contain usermances and passwoods, including files

associated with Internet browsers Choone FF inform, and Internet Explorer. Any email addresses and passworths located in these searches are harvested by Kelihos and subsequently transmitted back to the Defendant.

- 15. To capture additional user credentials Kkhibos installs a software program called WinHCAPPoorinfefeteted neachines. WinPCAP is a powerful packet capture utility that intercepts, in real time, electronic communications traversing the victim computer's network card. Usermanes and passwords found within this network traffic are transmitted back to the Defendant.
- 16. The credential hanvesting operation described above is used by the Defendant to further his illegal spanning operation. The Defendant promoteshis spamming operation byphaining adventisements in various online criminal forums in which the Defendant promotes his ability took liver spam email. In his ads, the Defendant states that he launches spam from "several thousand clean IP addresses and accounts," attehnique that increases the chances that the Defendant's spam emails will evadde the filters put in place by email providers seeking to protect their customers.
- The "clean" IP addresses and accounts that power the Defendant's 17. spanning operationage, in fact, the coopted IP addresses and the email accounts of Kelihors with times. There is a addresses and email accounts are leveraged by the Defendant in two ways. In some casses, the Defendant instructs the bots in his Kelihos botnett to send spam directly, in essence tunning victim computers into mail servers that distribute span to the recipient email addressespooided by the

U.S. v. Levashow 3: 117-cov-000

Defendant. In other cases, the Defendant leverages the credentials harvested by Kelihoss to gaim unauthboizzed access to commercial email servers, which are them used to transmit the spann messages. In these instructes, the spann messages sent by the Defendant appear to originated directly from the victim's email account.

- 18. The spann campaigns initiated by the Defendant vary in content based on customerdeemadd, and the Defendant differentiates his pricing based on the nature of the spann messages. Highlistoformulad advise tisentents, the Defendant offers to deliveronemillion spann messagesproomtitinglelegalörpdodtscts such as "adult, mortgage leadspiblise rejects [i.e., counterfeit goods] ext. for 200. The Defendant's price increases to \$300 per million messages dot j'ibb span messages seekingtoo recruit job seekens into fraudulent positions, including "mules" - persons recruited to launder money and goods stolen by criminals. The Defendant also professes his willingness to propagate "seamploblishingtattacks, highich the Defendant promises to deliver for \$5000 per million messages.
- In conversations possessed by the FBI, the Defendant provided 19. additional insight into his pricing structure. In these conversations, the Defendant offers to send messages that would infect users with a type of malware known as ransomware — malliciousssoftware that encrypts the contents of victim computers and them demands a ranssom to return the emcrypted files to a readable state. The Defendant's spicice: \$500 per million emails. In the same conversation, the Defendant offers to send emails promoting appmpparabeliump penny stock schence, designed to drive up the price of a thinly traded security. For these messages, the

U.S. v. Levashow 3:17-cv-00

Defendant demanthed a commission based on the movement in the stock's price that occurred as a result of the spann campaign.

- 20. In addition to using Kelihos to distribute spam, the Defendant also profits by using Kelihos toddicedtyly install malware on victim computers. During FBI testing Kéhibos was observed installing ransomware onto a test machine, as well as "Vawtrakk" banking Trojam (used to steal login credentials used at financial institutions), and a madicious Wood document designed to infelect the computer with the Kromos banking Trojan.
- 21. The Defendant and those acting at his direction have inflected humdreds of thousands of computers around the world with Kelihos, including computers within the District of Alaska.
- 22. Persons in this District have also been the tanget of fraudulent and malicious spam emails that the Defendant has sent via the Kelihos botnet. These tangetts includeemphlyees of Alaska's public school districts, thousands of customers of Alaskan intermet service provider General Communication Inc. ((GCI)), comployees of the cities of Anothorage and Jumeau, and employees of the Alaska Division of Occupational Licensing.

COUNTI (Injunctive Relief under 18 U.S.C. § 1345)

- 23. The Unitted States of America at the earling and incorporate by effective the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 24. The Defendant is engaged in wire freadd, in violation of Title 18, Unitted Stattess Code, Section 1343, in that the Defendant, having devised as sharme or

U.S. w. Leevashhov 3:17-ev-00

artiffice to defraud and for obtaining more by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, is transmitting and causing to be transmitted, by means of wire communication in intenstate and foreign commerce, writings, isigns, and signals for the purpose of executing such scheme or autifice.

PuFsuantt to Title 118, United States Code, Section 1345(a) and (b), the 25. Unitted States of America requests the issuance of a temporary restraining order, preliminary injunction, and permanent injunction against the Defendant and his agents in order to prevent a continuing and substantial injury to the owners and legitimate users of the infected computers in the Kelihos butnet.

COUNT II (Injunctive Redief under 18 U.S.C. § 2521)

- The Unitted States of America alleges and incorporates by reference 26. the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 27. The Defendant is engaged in the unauthboized interception of electronic communications, in violation of Trittel 88, United States Code, Section 2511, in that the Defendant is intentionally intercepting electronic communications, and is intentionally using and endeavoring to use the contents of electronic communications knowing that the information is obtained through the unauthboized interception of electronic communications.
- 28. Pursuant to Title 18, Unitted States Code, Section 2521, the United Stattes of America requests the issuance of a temporary restraining order, preliminary injunction, and permanent injunction against the Defendant and his

agents in order to prevent a continuing and substantial injury to the owners and legitimate users of the inflectadomputers in the Kelihos botmet.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the United States of America prays that the Court:

- A. Enter judgment in favor of the Government and against the Defendant:
- B. Pursuant to Title 188, United States Code, Sections 1345(b) and 2521, enter a preliminary injunction and permanent injunction against the Defendant and his agents, servants comployees, and all persons and entities in active concert or participation with them from engaging in any of the activity complained of herein or from causing any of the injuny complained of herein and from assisting aididing or abetting any other person or business entity from engaging in or performing any of the activity complained of herein or from causing any of the injuny complained of herein;
- C. Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sections 18345(b) and 2521, enter a preliminary injunction and permanent injunction authorizing the Government to continue the malware disruption plan specified in the Government's Memorandum of Law in Support of Motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Order to Show Cause Re Preliminary Injunction, for approvide of six months, and requiring the entities specified in the Temporary Restraining Order to continue to take the actions specified in the Temporary Restraining Order for a periodiofisix months;

U.S., v. Levashow 3:177-0w-Q00) D. Order such other relief that the Court deems just and proper.

Datted:

April 4, 2017

BRYAN SCHRODER

Acting United States Attorney

KENNETH A. BLANCO

Acting Assistant Attonney General

By: Isl Richard Romerovy

> RICHARD POMERON YVONNE ILAMOUREUX ADAM ALEXANDER Assistant U.S. Atttorneys

District of Alaska

By: /s/ Ethan Aremson

> ETHAN ARENSON HAROLD CHUN FRANKILIN Trial Attorneyss

Computer Crimee and

Intellectual Property Section